

1 **‘Omics’ approach to identify factors involved in Brassica disease resistance**

2

3 Marta Francisco<sup>1</sup>, Pilar Soengas<sup>1</sup>, Pablo Velasco<sup>1</sup>, Vijai Bhaduria<sup>2</sup>, Maria E. Cartea<sup>1</sup> &

4 Victor M. Rodríguez<sup>1\*</sup>

5

6 <sup>1</sup> Group of Genetics, Breeding and Biochemistry of Brassicas. Misión Biológica de

7 Galicia (MBG-CSIC), Apartado 28, 36080 Pontevedra, Spain

8

9 <sup>2</sup> Crop Development Centre. Department of Plant Sciences, 51 Campus Drive.

10 University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK S7N 5A8 Canada

11

12 \* Corresponding author: Victor M. Rodriguez; Tel: +34 986 85 48 00; FAX: +34 986

13 84 13 62; e-mail: [vmrodriguez@mbg.csic.es](mailto:vmrodriguez@mbg.csic.es)

14

## 15   **Abstract**

16

17   Understanding plant's defense mechanisms and their response to biotic stresses is of  
18   fundamental meaning for the development of resistant crop varieties and more  
19   productive agriculture. The *Brassica* genus involves a large variety of economically  
20   important species and cultivars used as vegetable source, oilseeds, forage and  
21   ornamental. Damage caused by pathogens attack affects negatively various aspects of  
22   plant growth, development, and crop productivity. Over the last few decades, advances  
23   in plant physiology, genetics, and molecular biology have greatly improved our  
24   understanding of plant responses to biotic stress conditions. In this regard, various  
25   'omics' technologies enable qualitative and quantitative monitoring of the abundance of  
26   various biological molecules in a high-throughput manner, and thus allow determination  
27   of their variation between different biological states on a genomic scale. In this review,  
28   we have described advances in 'omic' tools (genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics and  
29   metabolomics) in the view of conventional and modern approaches being used to  
30   elucidate the molecular mechanisms that underlie *Brassica* disease resistance.

31

## 32 Introduction

33

34 The family *Brassicaceae* (syn. *Cruciferae*) is one of the crucial plant families for  
35 humans and animals and supplies several products from various plant parts. Since  
36 ancient times, *Brassica* crops have been used for many purposes, including vegetables,  
37 oilseeds, feed, condiments, fodder, green manure and even medical treatments. The  
38 genus *Brassica* includes a group of six interrelated species of worldwide economic  
39 importance. The principal vegetable species is *B. oleracea*, which includes vegetable  
40 and forage crops, such as kale, cabbage, broccoli, Brussels sprouts, cauliflower, and  
41 others; *B. rapa* includes vegetable forms, such as turnip, Chinese cabbage, and Pak  
42 Choi, along with forage and oilseed types; *B. napus* crops are mainly used as oilseed  
43 (rapeseed), although forage and vegetable types like leaf rape and “nabicol” are also  
44 included. Finally, the mustard group which is formed by three species, *B. carinata*, *B.*  
45 *nigra* and *B. juncea*, is mainly used as a condiment because of their seeds although  
46 leaves of *B. juncea* are also consumed as vegetables in Asian countries.

47 The productivity and quality of these crops are seriously affected by several  
48 diseases, which result in substantial economic losses every year worldwide. The  
49 increase in the economic importance of *Brassica* crops has led to an increase in research  
50 on the plant host responses to pathogens and the mechanisms underlying the resistance.  
51 Several diseases are known to occur on *Brassica* crops affecting yield and quality in the  
52 field and in storage (Table 1). Among them, black rot and clubroot could be considered  
53 the most important diseases. Black rot is caused by the bacterium *Xanthomonas*  
54 *campestris* pv. *campestris* (Pammel) Dowson and is considered to be one of the most  
55 devastating soilborne disease in *Brassica* crops worldwide (Lema et al., 2012; Vicente  
56 et al., 2001). The disease is favored by warm, humid conditions and can spread rapidly

57 from rain dispersal and irrigation water. Typical disease symptoms include V-shaped  
58 yellow lesions starting from the leaf margins and blackening of the veins (Vicente et al.,  
59 2006; Vicente and Holub, 2013). Considerable research into the management of black  
60 rot in vegetable *Brassica* crops has been done, but the majority of commercial cultivars  
61 of *B. oleracea* and *B. rapa* are highly susceptible (Lema et al., 2012). Clubroot is caused  
62 by the protist *Plasmodiophora brassicae* which infects the majority of cruciferous and it  
63 is particularly important in horticultural *Brassica* production. *P. brassicae* causes galls  
64 on roots of infected plants which interfere with the plant uptake of water and nutrients.  
65 Under warm conditions, plants often wilt which is usually the first sign of clubroot  
66 infection (Rennie et al., 2015). Ideal conditions for clubroot infection are acid soils,  
67 high soil moisture, warm temperatures and the presence of a susceptible brassica host  
68 (Strehlow et al., 2014)

69 Besides Xanthomonas, other bacteria could cause diseases in *Brassica* spp. For  
70 instance, *Erwinia carotovora* and *Pseudomonas* spp. are common pathogens to most  
71 *Brassica* crops and cause a soft mushy breakdown (Table 1). *P. syringae* pv. *maculicola*  
72 (McCulloch) is specially virulent. The bacterium can be seed-borne and survive on  
73 plants and crop debris and infects early vegetative plant stages. Development of  
74 infection is favored by prolonged cool, wet conditions and can develop rapidly  
75 following plant stress.

76 Fungi could also cause important diseases in *Brassica* spp. *Alternaria* spp. cause  
77 leaf spot that affects the quality of the products and can become a major problem during  
78 storage (Lee and Hong, 2015). Most of the important economic *Brassica* crops are hosts  
79 of *A. brassicae* (Table 1). This fungus produces small dark spots on older leaves in cool  
80 wet conditions, which are surrounded by a ring of lighter tissue. The disease can be  
81 carried over on crop residues and weeds. Other fungi such as *Leptosphaeria maculans*

or *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* (Lib.) de Bary attack a wide range of *Brassica* crops (Table 1). The former fungus causes dry rot/blackleg that commonly affects the stems and leaves of vegetable brassicas. Numerous vegetable and oilseed *Brassica* crops are hosts of this disease (Table 1). Stem damage can lead to cankering and severing of the plant at the base. *S. sclerotiorum* causes the so-called stem rot disease. The disease is mainly on aboveground parts, producing a cottony white mold. Finally, the mold is replaced by numerous hardened sclerotia that gradually change from white to black (Saharan and Mehta, 2008).

There are many viruses that affect *Brassica* crops. Symptoms vary depending on the plant host, age, variety, weather conditions and nutritional status. Viruses often have a number of alternative *Brassica* hosts and are usually spread from plant to plant by insects (e.g. aphids, thrips) or fungal vectors. The three main *Brassica* viruses are beet western yellows virus (BWYV), cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) and turnip mosaic virus (TuMV) (Table 1). Infected plants show patterns, distortion and mottling in the leaves and often die if infection occurs early (Hunter et al., 2002). The application of aphicides can prevent their introduction; however, correct timing is difficult to achieve. Seed treatments can give seedlings up to some weeks protection, often long enough to protect through the critical period when aphids are flying (McSorley and Frederick, 1995; Raybould et al., 1999).

## **‘Omic’ studies in *Brassica*-pathogen interactions**

Plant infection by pathogenic microbes involves many dynamic changes in molecular communication and adaptation of host and pathogen physiology. Significant advances have been made in the past few decades in understanding the defense mechanisms

associated with resistance in *Brassica* crops, and to date many genes governing resistance have been identified and used in crop improvement. However due to the complexity of the genetic and molecular processes implicated in defense responses they need to be researched more extensively. In this regard, the rapid advances in ‘omic’ technologies provide an opportunity to generate new information either at the genomic, transcriptomic, proteomic or metabolomic levels. In the following sections, we provide an overview of integrated high-throughput genotyping technologies and functional ‘omic’ tools recently used for the identification of new genes, metabolic pathways and proteins involved in plant-pathogen resistance of *Brassica* crops.

## Genomics

Genomics is a discipline in genetics that applies recombinant DNA, DNA sequencing methods, and bioinformatics to sequence, assemble, and analyze the function and structure of genomes. The field includes efforts to determine the entire DNA sequence of organisms and fine-scale genetic mapping. The first species of *Brassicaceae* being sequenced was *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Kaul et al., 2000). Genomes of the most important *Brassica* crops from the economical point of view have been recently sequenced and assembled combining Sanger and next-generation sequencing strategies (NGS). Genome sequences included those of Chinese cabbage (*B. rapa*), cabbage and kale (*B. oleracea*) and rapeseed (*B. napus*) (Chalhoub et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2014; Parkin et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2011). The genomes of *B. rapa* (A) and *B. oleracea* (C) have suffered a whole genome triplication (WGT), containing each three sub-genomes (Liu et al., 2014; Parkin et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2011), being this a characteristic of the *Brassicaceae* tribe (Li et al., 2014). While the genomes of *B. oleracea* and *B. rapa* are

highly similar in terms of total gene clusters/sequences and the gene number in each cluster, there are also a large number of species-specific genes in the two species. *B. napus* is an amphidiploid between *B. rapa* and *B. oleracea* (AC genome). Most orthologous gene pairs in *B. rapa* and *B. oleracea* remain as homologous in *B. napus* (Chalhoub et al., 2014). Genomes sequencing projects for *Brassica* crops have produced vast amounts of sequence data that will provide useful information for genetic studies related to disease resistance. Sequences of the A, C and AC genomes, their assembly and predicted genes and associated annotations are available in several web pages which are summarized in: <http://www.brassica.info/resource/genome.php>. The availability of these reference genomes enhances our understanding of the genome architecture and the evolution of *Brassica* species, as well as facilitates identification of genes associated with important traits for breeding (Lee et al., 2015).

Besides, the genomes of other species from the *Brassicaceae* family closely related to *Brassica* crops, have been recently sequenced as those of *Eutrema salsugineum*, *Capsella rubella*, *Leavenworthia alabamica*, *Sisymbrium inio*, *Aethionema arabicum* or *Arabidopsis lyrata* (Hu et al., 2011; Slotte et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2013).

The availability of genome sequences of *Brassicaceae* species allows carrying on comparative genomics, to study basic biological similarities and differences as well as evolutionary relationships between organisms. The close phylogenetic relationship between the *Brassica* species and the model plant *A. thaliana* makes the transfer of knowledge from *A. thaliana* to *Brassica* crop improvement straightforward (Cho et al., 2015). Several studies have established chromosomal collinearity between *Brassica* and *A. thaliana*. The QTL of resistance to clubroot Crr1 is located on a region called A8 on *B. rapa* (Suwabe et al., 2012). After fine mapping, the region on A8 corresponded to a disease resistance gene cluster in the *A. thaliana* genome. The location and order on the

157 markers showed good correspondence with those in *A. thaliana* with a few  
158 rearrangements such as inversions and insertions. This genomic region of *Brassica* is  
159 conserved and has a potential as a disease resistance gene cluster.

160 Moreover, the availability of whole genome sequences has led to the  
161 identification and validation of novel disease-resistance alleles (Hayward et al., 2012).  
162 Plant disease resistance (R) genes which specifically interact/recognize with  
163 corresponding pathogen avirulence (avr) genes are considered as plant genetic factors of  
164 a major layer. The interactions of this gene-for-gene manner activate the signal  
165 transduction cascades that turn on complex defense responses against pathogen attack  
166 and this is called incompatible interaction (Yu et al., 2014). Whole genome analysis of  
167 R gene families within cultivated and wild varieties of a host species, made available  
168 through genome sequencing, may not only enable the identification of novel genes, but  
169 extensive phylogenetic and evolutionary analyses may also infer the nature of R and  
170 Avr gene product interactions (Hayward et al., 2012). Most of the R genes in plant  
171 kingdom are members of NBS-LRR (nucleotide-binding site-leucine rich repeat)  
172 proteins. Recent whole genome sequence data enabled the genome wide identification,  
173 mapping and characterization of candidate 159 NBS-containing R genes in *A. thaliana*  
174 (Meyers et al., 2003). The genome sequence of *B. rapa* was used to identify 92 non-  
175 redundant NBS-encoding in approximately 100 Mbp of *B. rapa* genome sequence (Mun  
176 et al., 2009). More recently, 157 and 206 NBS-encoding genes in *B. oleracea* and *B.*  
177 *rapa* were identified, respectively (Yu et al., 2014). NBS-encoding genes exhibited  
178 differential expression pattern in different tissues and several genes were induced by  
179 wounding. Despite the fact that *B. rapa* has a significantly larger genome than *A.*  
180 *thaliana*, *B. rapa* contains relatively small number of NBS-encoding genes, presumably



181 because of deletion of redundant genes related to genome diploidization (Mun et al.,  
182 2009).

183 Transcription factors (TF) play pivotal functions in signal transduction to  
184 activate or suppress defense response genes and regulate the interactions between  
185 different signaling pathways. The AP2/ERF superfamily is one of the largest groups of  
186 TFs in plants. Extensive research has confirmed that AP2/ERF TFs are involved in plant  
187 growth and development, hormone response, and biotic or abiotic stress responses (Liu  
188 et al., 2013). ERF genes could improve plant resistance because their overexpression  
189 enhanced resistance to various diseases and improved tolerance to drought, salt, and  
190 freezing in transgenic plants (Liu et al., 2013). The *Brassica* Database was surveyed to  
191 gain further information on the AP2/ERF superfamily and its subclade CRFs in Chinese  
192 cabbage. A total of 281 members were identified in this superfamily. Up to 35 tandem  
193 duplicated genes and 252 segmental duplicated genes were found among the 281  
194 AP2/ERF TFs, while 30 tandem duplicated genes and only 75 segmental duplicated  
195 genes were found in *A. thaliana*, suggesting that the expansion of BrAP2/ERFTFs after  
196 speciation from *A. thaliana* is mainly attributed to segmental duplication events during  
197 the WGT.

198 With the availability of the *Brassica* A (Wang et al., 2011), C (Liu et al., 2014;  
199 Parkin et al., 2014) and AC genome (Chalhoub et al., 2014) sequences it is possible to  
200 identify high-density, genome-wide SNPs in *Brassica* spp. (Dalton-Morgan et al.,  
201 2014). High-density SNP arrays can be a cost-effective alternative for genome-wide  
202 polymorphism screens for genome-wide association (GWAS) studies of resistance to  
203 diseases, but also by breeders as a tool for comprehensive genome-wide screens of elite  
204 germplasm and breeding pools. Genome-wide high-density array in *B. napus* has been  
205 described recently (Dalton-Morgan et al., 2014) and it has been demonstrated its utility

206 in genotyping R resistance genes to black leg on chromosome A07. A total of 21,311  
207 SNP markers were developed by Park et al. (2010) by re-sequencing 1,398 STSs in  
208 eight genotypes of Chinese cabbage (*B. rapa*). 141 SNPs related to disease resistance  
209 and leaf traits were selected (Ahn et al., 2014). Among them, 20 SNP primers were  
210 polymorphic in a DH mapping population differing in resistance to clubroot, turnip  
211 mosaic virus and soft rot and they could be further used for the detection of QTLs and  
212 fine mapping studies of disease resistance of Chinese cabbage. From the same original  
213 set of SNPs markers designed by Park et al. (2010), 693 SNPs were tested for  
214 amplification in the *B. oleracea* genome, from this 425 were successfully applied into  
215 *B. oleracea*, suggesting that it is possible to apply SNPs markers developed base on the  
216 *B. rapa* genome to *B. oleracea* (Cho et al., 2015).

217         The application of GWAS to plants has greatly increased the resolution of QTL  
218 detection. Fopa Fomeju et al. (2014) by using a GWAS analysis identified genomic  
219 regions of *B. napus* linked to the resistance to stem canker, caused by the fungal  
220 pathogen *L. maculans*. A panel of 116 oilseed rape spring varieties was genotyped with  
221 3228 SNPs. 321 markers were linked to the resistance, corresponding to 64 genomic  
222 regions. These genomic regions were relatively equally distributed on the A (53%) and  
223 C (47%) genomes of *B. napus*. 44% of these regions are duplicated homologous  
224 regions, which suggests structural and functional conservation of genetic factors  
225 involved in this trait in *B. napus*.

226         Efforts to understand crop genomes have been boosted in recent years by  
227 developments in NGS technologies. Dramatic cost reductions accompanying the rapid  
228 increase in scale of these systems today make DNA sequencing an accessible and  
229 powerful option for high-throughput analysis (Edwards et al., 2013). Re-sequencing is

used to identify genetic variation between individuals, which can provide molecular genetic markers and insight into gene function (Tollenaere et al., 2012).

Using the sequencing-by-synthesis method, a total of 7.0 Gb Illumina pair-end reads were generated from each one of the parental lines of a mapping population of cabbage (Lv et al., 2013) with different levels of resistance to *Fusarium* wilt (caused by the fungus *Fusarium oxysporum*). InDel markers were designed according to the reference genome sequence of cabbage and the whole-genome resequencing of the two parents. A resistance gene (FOC1) was located on C06 flanked by two InDel markers. Afterwards Lv et al. (2014) designed additional InDel primers in that region to map the FOC1 gene more precisely. Two TIR-NBS-LRR type R genes were considered as candidates genes underlying the variation for *Fusarium* wilt resistance.

Black rot is one of the most devastating diseases to crucifers including *B. oleracea*. Up to 14 QTLs were described as linked to resistance in cabbage (Lee et al., 2015). Two parental lines of a mapping population of cabbage were re-sequenced up to 20x genome coverage and a genome-wide survey for SNPs was conducted. Approximately, 1.20 millions of SNPs were identified in the parents. Polymorphic SNPs between both parents were used to design dCAPS markers for those regions with low marker density. Finally, 103 markers were added to develop a higher density map. NBS-LRR genes within the QTLs regions were searched. Four QTL regions contained 21 candidate R genes. Two candidate disease resistance genes in BRQTL-C1 and seven genes in BRQTL-C3 were found as gene clusters (Lee et al., 2015).

Little information is available on the genetic control of quantitative resistance to blackleg. A QTL for blackleg resistance was mapped in a DH population of rapeseed (*B. napus*) and corresponds to the R gene Rlm4 placed on A07. This region was aligned with the reference genome sequence of *B. rapa* on which 18 candidate R genes were

identified (Tollenaere et al., 2012). Parents of the DH population were re-sequenced (read coverage of 10x in both). Sequences were aligned with the reference genome of *B. rapa* and the 18 candidate genes were characterized in the parental lines following Illumina paired-end sequencing of the parents. Two candidate genes (BLR2 and BLR11) were selected based on the sequence identity of known resistance genes and sequence divergence in the NGS reads of both parents.

### *Transcriptomics*

To effectively combat pathogen invasion, plants have developed sophisticated defense strategies that initiate with an extensive transcriptome reorganization that presages changes in plant metabolism. When the infection plays out, the plant metabolism often represents a shifting mixture of disease resistance responses and disease susceptibility responses. Over the last decades, studies on the transcriptome mechanisms that underlie the effects of plant pathogenic infection have received a great deal of attention. At a staggering pace the development of new technologies to perform genome-wide transcriptomic analyses (microarray based expression profiling methods, the availability of genomic and/or EST (expressed sequence tag) sequence data for some plant species and the recent development of the NGS) has allowed significant progress in the characterization of the transcriptomic plant-pathogen related response.

Speaking strictly the transcriptome is defined as the total set of transcripts (including mRNA, tRNA, rRNA and small non-coding RNAs) present in a given cell, tissue or organism. Techniques to analyze the transcriptome are mainly focused on the relative or absolute quantification of mRNA. These techniques could be divided in two major groups, those based on hybridization and those based on amplification.

280 Microarrays are the paradigmatic example of the former group and were the first  
281 platform developed to study genome-wide expression. DNA microarrays provide a  
282 simple vehicle for exploring the transcriptome in a way that is both systematic and  
283 comprehensive (Brown and Botstein, 1999). This platform is based on the  
284 immobilization of discrete DNA sequences (probes) in an array which are used to  
285 interrogate the mRNA of a sample (target). Probe construction is a crucial step on  
286 microarray design. Regardless its chemical nature, probes have to hybridize very  
287 specific sequences and thus their design requires a basic knowledge of the genomic  
288 sequence of the species. For this reason the use of microarray technology has been  
289 limited to model organisms for years (Witzel et al., 2015). In the particular case of  
290 *Brassica* spp. early transcriptomic studies took advantage of the high degree of synteny  
291 in gene sequences between *A. thaliana* and *Brassica* spp. This highly genetic similitude  
292 has allowed the use of the *A. thaliana* microarrays to examine transcriptional changes  
293 associated with the response of *B. napus* cultivars to *S. sclerotiorum* infection at  
294 different time points following pathogen infection (Liu et al., 2005; Yang et al., 2007;  
295 Zhao et al., 2007) or to analyze the transcriptomic response to TuMV (turnip mosaic  
296 virus) infection in *B. rapa* (Li et al., 2014).

297         Nowadays, advances in the acquisition of *Brassica* spp. genome sequences have  
298 prompted to the development of specific microarrays. Two major groups of microarrays  
299 are available to perform genome-wide transcriptome studies in *Brassica* spp.; spotted  
300 microarrays and oligonucleotide microarrays being both alternatives successfully used  
301 to characterize the *Brassica* response to different pathogens. Narusaka et al. (2006) used  
302 a spotted microarray with 1820 cDNA clones selected from 20166 non-redundant  
303 sequences of cDNA libraries of Chinese cabbage to investigate the transcriptome  
304 induced changes in tissues inoculated with the fungal pathogen *Colletotrichum*

305 *higginsianum*. A custom-made cDNA microarray with 26090 oligonucleotides was also  
306 employed by Zhao et al. (2009) to monitor gene expression in *B. napus* in response to *S.*  
307 *sclerotiorum* insult. A different strategy was followed by Yin et al. (2006), who treated  
308 *B. napus* plants with oligochitosan to elicit the plant immune response and characterize  
309 this response using a microarray containing 8095 ESTs. A collection of *Brassica*  
310 specific oligonucleotide microarrays are nowadays commercially available (Love et al.,  
311 2010; Trick et al., 2009), but so far these have been seldom used in *Brassica*-pathogen  
312 interactions. Just recently, Jung et al. (2013) studied the transcriptional profiling of a  
313 transgenic Chinese cabbage using the *B. rapa* 24K oligo array challenge with  
314 *Pectobacterium carotovorum* subsp. *carotovorum* (*Pcc*).

315       The alternative to microarray platforms relies on technologies based on  
316 amplification. The recent acquisition of *Brassica* species genome sequences together  
317 with the advance in NGS, have allowed accurate measurement of expression levels of  
318 genes as well as detection of allele specific expression and alternative splicing variants.  
319 Two protocols have been developed to quantify level of expressions: tag-based  
320 sequencing approach (Digital Gene Expression (DGE)) and RNA sequencing (RNA-  
321 seq). DGE includes different techniques such as serial analysis of gene expression  
322 (SAGE) (Yamamoto et al., 2001), SuperSAGE (Matsumura et al., 2010) or cap analysis  
323 of gene expression (CAGE) (Kodzius et al., 2006). All these techniques are based on the  
324 generation of short cDNA tags from an mRNA population in several steps. More  
325 straightforward protocols are used for RNA-Seq. A typical RNA-Seq experiment starts  
326 with mRNA that is subsequently converted into cDNA to form an RNA-Seq library. By  
327 sequencing the millions of DNA fragments in the library (known as ‘reads’) with NGS,  
328 an accurate measure of the relative abundance of each transcript and splice variants can

be obtained. In principle, any high-throughput sequencing technology could be used in both approaches.

Large-scale and genome-wide gene expression profiling methods have been applied to analyze *Brassica* crops infected by pathogens. Expression patterns of genetically transformed rapeseed plants with *hrf2*, a gene conferring rapeseed resistance to *S. sclerotiorum*, were compared with untransformed genotype, observing the breadth of molecular mechanism of hairpin-mediated plant responses related to defense, plant-hormone biosynthesis, catabolism, and signal transduction (Wang et al., 2015). RNA-Seq has been employed recently to elucidate resistance mechanisms involved in plant-pathogen interactions including fungi, bacteria and virus (Chu et al., 2014; Kalischuk et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2015; Lloyd et al., 2014; Shimizu et al., 2014).

Compared to other plants little information is available about the genome-wide expression response of *Brassica* spp. to pathogen insult. Special attention has been paid to the response of these species to fungal attack. Experiments on transcriptome profiling analysis of *Brassica* cultivars infected with fungal pathogens, led to the identification of more than 300 differentially expressed genes at least two-fold as compared to uninoculated controls and a large part of these genes exhibits temporal and quantitative differences between resistant genotypes and susceptible ones (Kim et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2005; Lowe et al., 2014; Narusaka et al., 2006; Shimizu et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2007). Expressed genes included those encoding defense-associated proteins, transcription factors belonging to the zinc finger (WRKY, APETALA2 and MYB classes), phytohormone-responsive genes (jasmonic acid, ethylene and auxin synthesis enzymes) and cell wall structure genes. In addition, changes in the expression of genes encoding enzymes involved in carbohydrate and energy metabolism appeared to be directed towards shuttling carbon reserves to the tricarboxylic acid cycle and

354 generating reactive oxygen species (Kim et al., 2015; Lowe et al., 2014; Shimizu et al.,  
355 2014; Zhao et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2007). Transcripts from genes encoding enzymes  
356 involved in glucosinolate and phenylpropanoid biosynthesis were highly elevated after  
357 fungus infection, suggesting that secondary metabolites are also components of the  
358 defense response in *Brassica* spp. (Shimizu et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2009). The  
359 integration of metabolomics with transcriptomic and genomic platforms has frequently  
360 been used as a strategy to identify candidate genes involved in the regulation of the  
361 levels of specific metabolites in plant systems. Thus, some of the candidate defense  
362 genes detected by transcriptomic approaches were also identified by integration with  
363 previously mapped QTLs (Zhao et al., 2007), and validated by qRT-PCR and Northern  
364 blot analysis (Chu et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2005; Yang et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2009).

365         Transcriptomic response to bacterial or virus pathogens has been extensively  
366 studied in *A. thaliana*, but not so in *Brassica* spp. Efforts to obtain *B. rapa* genotypes  
367 resistant to *P. carotovorum* prompted to the development of transgenic lines expressing  
368 a human cathelicidin antimicrobial peptide (Jung, 2013). The human peptide confers a  
369 moderate resistance to the pathogen by activating the expression of defensins,  
370 resistance-responsive proteins and LTP (lipid transfer proteins). Bacterial and virus  
371 pathogens have also been used to activate resistance gene expression of *Brassica* spp.  
372 (Kalischuk et al., 2015; Sarosh et al., 2009). Immunization of *B. napus* plants with  
373 *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* prevents the attack of *Botrytis cinerea* (Sarosh et al., 2009).  
374 Interestingly, in spite of the root treatment of plants with *B. amyloliquefaciens* more  
375 transcripts were activated in leaves than in roots indicating a systemic effect in priming.  
376 Likewise, low dose of purified dsCaMV virus induce a complex transcriptome  
377 reactivation that allows a transgenerational resistance to pathogens. Though the



mechanism involved in this response remain elusive, transcriptomic analysis point to epigenetic mechanisms (Kalischuk et al., 2015).

A special case of a transcriptomic study is the expression profile of small RNAs (including miRNAs) in response to pathogen challenge. Small RNAs are noncoding RNAs that play an important regulatory role in the growth and development of eukaryotes. In plants they are known to regulate the expression of a number of key developmental and stress-related genes and plant innate immune receptors (Eckardt, 2012). During the last years technological advances have allowed the study of the genome-wide expression profile of sRNAs. Shen et al. (2014) used this approach to analyzed the role of miRNAs in the oilseed rape-*Verticillium longisporum* interaction using two RNA libraries made from *V. longisporum* infected/non-infected oilseed rape roots and employing *B. rapa* and *B. oleracea* genomes as references for miRNA prediction and characterization. A high throughput analysis identified two classes of sRNAs of *B. campestris* that modified their expression after infection with *E. carotovora*, host 28-nt sRNAs and sRNA homologous to the pathogen genome (Sun, 2014). Interaction with the protist *P. brassicae* also activates the expression of sRNAs in *B. napus* (Verma et al., 2014). This sRNAs are involved in the regulation of the expression of several transcription factors related to plant resistance.

### *Proteomics*

Given that the language of plant-pathogen interactions is largely in proteins and is the final executors of most biological processes, proteomics is an important tool in translational genomics as it can translate plethora of genomic information into functional information. Proteomics is the large-scale functional analysis of proteins

403 extracted from intact organisms, tissues, individual cells, or cell compartments, at  
404 defined time points during development or under specific conditions. Comparative  
405 proteome analysis, could lead to a more comprehensive understanding of biotic stresses  
406 in economical important *Brassica* crops as it can reveal factors involved in resistance  
407 against various diseases as proteins are directly related to functions. These factors can  
408 then be used in developing molecular markers to introgress resistance into cultivars  
409 through marker-assisted selection breeding or genome editing.

410       Many initial proteomic approaches were based two-dimensional gel  
411 electrophoresis (2-DE), a good choice for rapidly identifying major proteome  
412 differences in healthy versus inoculated plants. However it suffers from some ongoing  
413 concerns regarding quantitative reproducibility and limitations on the ability to study  
414 certain classes of proteins. Therefore, in recent years attention has been paid on the  
415 development of alternative approaches, such as promising gel-free proteomics. With the  
416 appearance of mass spectra (MS)-based proteomics, an entirely new toolbox has  
417 become available for quantitative analysis. Although these novel approaches were  
418 initially pitched as replacements for gel-based methods, they should probably be  
419 regarded as complements to rather than replacements of 2-DE. Protein spots selected  
420 from the gels are picked, trypsin digested, and subjected to ionization by ESI or matrix-  
421 assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI), both coupled to MS analyses.  
422 Subsequently, the peptide masses are used to query peptide mass databases in order to  
423 identify the proteins (Rabilloud et al., 2010). This proteomics approach has been  
424 successfully applied to some host-pathogen interaction studies in *Brassica* vegetables.

425       Canola (*B. napus*) is one of the important oil-seed crops worldwide, and clubroot  
426 and blackleg are the two main biological constraints to canola production. Clubroot  
427 caused by an obligate biotrophic protist *P. brassicae* is creating havoc in canola

growing regions. Source of resistance has been identified in European fodder turnip *B. rapa* ssp. *rapifera* and successfully introgressed into oilseed rape (*B. napus*) (Bradshaw et al., 1997; Diederichsen et al., 2009; Hirai, 2006; Piao et al., 2009; Yoshikawa, 1981). This genetic resistance coupled with crop rotation can break the prolonged survival cycle of this pathogen in soil (up to 20 years). Kaido et al. (2007) carried out a comparative proteome analysis between a pair of resistant and susceptible turnip cultivars to the causal agent of clubroot disease. They achieved 2-DE to detect differences in protein profiles between resistant and susceptible cultured roots in the early responses against the given *P. brassicae* resting spores. These proteins, especially the up-regulated ones in resistant roots, must include specific agents involved in the resistance to clubroot infection.

Time-course proteomics revealed major changes in canola metabolism leading to susceptibility to *P. brassicae* (Cao et al., 2008). The authors used 2-DE coupled ESI-MS to identify 20 proteins in canola roots differentially regulated upon *P. brassicae* infection. Among these, there can be found reactive oxygen species-detoxification enzymes such as Cu/Zn sodium dismutase and cytochrome *c* oxidase, proteins implicated in metabolic pathway, enzymes such as S-adenosylmethionine synthetase, adenosine kinase, triose phosphate isomerase and Glycine-rich RNA-binding protein and the lignin biosynthesis enzyme caffeoyl-CoA O-methyltransferase. Blackleg is another major disease of oilseed rape worldwide. Twenty-four differentially expressed proteins in *B. napus* resistant cultivar Surpass 400 upon challenge with virulent and avirulent isolates of *L. maculans* were identified by the 2-DE coupled with matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (TOF-MS devices) (Marra et al., 2010). Among these there can be found stress- and defense associated proteins, such as heat shock protein, peptidyl-prolyl *cis-trans* isomerase, ribosome

inactivating protein, ascorbate peroxidase and disulphide oxidoreductase. Functional validation of clubroot and blackleg resistance associated proteins can be achieved by generating transgenic plants using genome editing tools, such as Zn finger nuclease, TALENs and CRISPR/Cas9. Sun et al. (2014) studied proteome changes in the seedling leaves of the non-heading Chinese cabbage at different time points following inoculation with *H. parasitica* using 2-DE in combination with matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation TOF-MS. They found 91 protein spots related to the resistance response. These proteins were assigned to different functional categories, such as amino acid and carbohydrate metabolism, photosynthesis and photorespiration, protein metabolism, signal transduction, redox homeostasis, and ethylene biosynthesis.

Recently developed shotgun proteomics approaches, such as iTRAQ (Isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantitation) have broader implication in plant disease resistance as these approaches allow multiplexing of samples and therefore, simultaneously compare and quantify differentially regulated proteins in susceptible and resistant genotypes. These technical advancements coupled to well-designed experiments will significantly reveal the protein function in plant stress- and defense and provide a wealth of information on plant proteome changes occurring in response to biotic stresses.

#### *Metabolomics*

For numerous organisms, complete genomes have been sequenced and transcriptome and proteome studies have been described. Only recently, metabolome analysis using MS platforms attracted attention (Hill and Roessner, 2013). Metabolomics is the analysis of the small molecules (metabolome) of an organism in a determined moment.

478 This analysis provides the phenotypical response at the metabolic level under a  
479 particular environmental condition or stress. It is considered a very ambitious field due  
480 to the high number of chemically distinct molecules present in a typical plant sample,  
481 estimated to be at least 100,000 (Witzel et al., 2015). As stated by Sardans et al. (2011),  
482 metabolomics provides a better analysis of the different response capacities conferred  
483 by the phenotypic plasticity of each species, allowing ascertaining what metabolic  
484 pathways are involved in a phenotypic response. The metabolome consists of two types  
485 of compounds, the primary metabolites, compounds shared by different organisms,  
486 involved in the basic functions of the living cell, such as respiration, and the secondary  
487 metabolites. The later are species specific and play a role in the interaction with the  
488 environment, e.g. plant defense against pests and diseases (Verpoorte et al., 2007).

489       The analysis of metabolites is done by analytical platforms which combine  
490 several techniques like nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR), MS, gas  
491 chromatography (GC), liquid chromatography (LC), and capillary electrophoresis (CE).  
492 As explained by Witzel et al. (2015) changes in the primary and secondary metabolite  
493 pool have been characterized using a GC-MS approach, while CE-MS is more suitable  
494 for characterizing the products associated with the central metabolism. NMR is  
495 appropriate for the targeting of phenolic compounds, carbohydrates, organic acids and  
496 amino acids; ESI is used for the analysis of semi-polar metabolites. Together, these  
497 technologies can identify and quantify a wide range of primary and secondary  
498 metabolites. Besides, it is possible to add high mass resolution, MS/MS fragmentation  
499 patterns and UV spectra by the use of TOF-MS devices. Recent software developments  
500 have improved the capacity to recognize different metabolites. Profiles based on mass,  
501 retention time and signal amplitude provides the data required for filtering biomarkers.  
502 Typically a data processing pipeline can be divided into two steps: data processing

(filtering, feature detection, alignment, and normalization) and data analysis (algorithm selection, training, evaluation, and model examination) (Witzel et al., 2015). To spread the use of metabolomics it is necessary to have public databases to compare the obtained results in the lab. There are two important characteristics to have a good metabolome analysis: the reproducibility to study the effect of different conditions in a concrete plant and the ease of quantitation and identification the number of metabolites to be measured (Verpoorte et al., 2007). Based on those characteristics Verpoorte et al. (2007) established three different groups of methods: 1- Chromatographic methods, 2- MS and 3- NMR, that can be reduce to two methods, the combination of 1 with 2 or 3. MS is now the most extended method but has a problem with the reproducibility due to the previous ionization step and the different mass spectrometers. NMR is a physical measurement of the resonances of the magnetic nuclei in a strong magnetic field. Each compound has a highly specific spectrum. This technique is highly reproducible which makes NMR the most suited method for a public domain metabolomics database. Chromatographic methods have shown to be very suitable for quantitative analysis. However, they require calibration curves for each compound as each one gives a different detector response. For qualitative analysis, chromatographic methods (HPLC with diode array detector, MS, and/or NMR, GC-MS) are the most powerful as they offer both retention behavior and physical characteristics as a tool for identification. MS allows the determination of the molecular weight, and in case of high resolution also of the elemental composition, but this is not always sufficient to determine the structure. Tandem MS might be of help to identify, in such a case, the compound through its fragmentation pattern, but in case of novel compounds further spectral data are required. The performance for NMR can be improved by using two-dimensional (2D) NMR methods, which even may enable structure elucidation of novel compounds in a mixture

(Verpoorte et al., 2007). In any case, the metabolite identification in non-targeted approaches in the absence of reference compounds remains difficult (Witzel et al., 2015), but there are different platforms and spectral databases online and it is expected that these difficulties could be solved in the future, as the number of identified compounds are increasing.

*Brassica* crops are attacked by an important number of pathogens which compromise the development of the plants of this genus. Some works have evaluated the effect of glucosinolates (secondary metabolites specific of brassicas) on different plant diseases (Ma et al., 2015; Singh et al., 2015; Sotelo et al., 2015; Velasco et al., 2013) but, despite of the importance of these crops and the effect of this pathogens, little is known about the interactions of different diseases on the metabolome of *Brassica* spp. Dr. Verpoorte's lab (Leiden University) is the most active one working in *Brassica* metabolomics, using mainly NMR. So, till now, the only metabolome studies in *Brassica* crops, affected by diseases, were from or in collaboration with this lab. In 2008, Jahangir et al. (2008) evaluated the metabolomics response of *B. rapa* to different food borne bacteria such as *Bacillus subtilis*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Shigella flexneri*. They used <sup>1</sup>H NMR and two-dimensional NMR spectra, coupled with principal component analysis (PCA) and partial least square-discriminant analysis (PLS-DA). The metabolic changes varied according to bacterial species. Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria had a different effect on the *Brassica* metabolome. While threonine and GABA were found to be the discriminating metabolites in Gram-positive bacteria treated plants, Gram-negative bacteria exhibited a significant increase in sinapoyl-malate, caffeoyl-malate and histidine. In general, amino acids, alcohols, carbohydrates and phenols were the discriminating metabolites. Using the same species, Simoh et al. (2009) evaluated the

553 metabolomics changes that occur after infection with a disarmed and tumor-inducing  
554 strain of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. As in the previous report, the selected technique  
555 was <sup>1</sup>H NMR coupled with PLS. These authors observed differences between the two  
556 kinds of strains, affecting mostly to flavonoid, phenylpropanoid, sugar and free  
557 amino/organic acid content. As an interesting point, the infection with two tumor-  
558 inducing strains of *A. tumefaciens* provoke the suppression of some flavonoids and  
559 phenylpropanoids, compounds that are usually induced in the plants in response to  
560 biotic and abiotic stresses. In the same year, Abdel-Farid et al. (2009) evaluated the  
561 metabolite induction in *B. rapa* under the infection of several fungal pathogens (*L.*  
562 *maculans*, *Aspergillus niger*, and *F. oxysporum*). The results obtained from the <sup>1</sup>H NMR  
563 with PLS-DA, showed again that phenylpropanoids and phenols are associated with  
564 fungal infection. Besides, in this case, they found glucosinolates related to the infection  
565 response. Nevertheless, the metabolomic response was different for each fungal species,  
566 *F. oxysporum* infected plants accumulated more phenylpropanoids (sinapoyl-, feruloyl,  
567 and 5-hydroxyferuloyl malate), flavonoids (kaempferol and quercetin) and fumaric acid  
568 than plants infected with the other two species (Abdel-Farid et al., 2009).

569         In 2014, we started in our lab (Group of Genetics, Breeding and Biochemistry of  
570 Brassicas, MBG-CSIC) an experiment to evaluate the effect of *X. campestris* pv.  
571 *campestris* on the metabolome of *B. oleracea* at several times (1, 2, 3, 7, 14 days), using  
572 an LC-QTOF instrument. The preliminary results using the Welch test showed that  
573 several compounds are significantly affected by the infection and the time, both in  
574 negative and positive modes, from 94 compounds at day 1 to 300 at day 2, and  
575 decreasing during next days. Some of these compounds were present in the five times.

576         As far as we know, no other labs have investigated the metabolome of *Brassica*  
577 crops under the infection of different pathogens. In the model plants, *A. thaliana* and



Thellungiella, several authors have reported the metabolite profile with different diseases (Botanga et al., 2012; Floerl et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2009; Pedras and Zheng, 2010). In this way, Botanga et al. (2012) inoculated Col-0 *A. thaliana* with *A. brassicicola*. They showed that almost half of the detected compounds were affected by the inoculation. Some of these compounds, e.g. ascorbate, affect disease severity when applied to *Alternaria* in following experiments. *Verticillium longisporium* is one of the most devastating diseases in oilseed crops of the *Brassicaceae* and several authors have evaluated the changes in the metabolome under the infection of this fungus in *A. thaliana* (Floerl et al., 2012; Konig et al., 2014), by LC-QTOF or NMR. Several identified compounds (phytohormones, oxylipins, aminoacides, synapates, and lignans) and hundreds of potential biomarkers have been shown to be affected by infection of *V. longisporium*. The use of transformed *A. thaliana* to reduce the content of anti-nutritive compounds has led to discover of other metabolites implicated in disease response. In this way, Huang et al. (2009) transformed *A. thaliana* to reduce the content in sinapine. This transformation altered the metabolome resulting in changes of several sinapoyl derivatives, quercetin, salicylic acid and indolyl glucosinolates. The transformed plants were showed to be more susceptible to fungal infection indicating that these metabolites may have a role in the defense of *Brassicaceae* plants.

As showed above, few researches have been done to evaluate the role of the metabolome in the defense of *Brassica* diseases. From the data analyzed, it is clear that compounds from the phenylpropanoid route have an implication in the defense to several diseases but changes could occur in hundreds of metabolites and the response is plant and pathogen specific. Metabolomics is the newest ‘omic’ discipline and it has a broad field to develop. One of the key points will be the creation and improvement of

compound databases with MS and MS/MS information to identify relevant metabolic markers.

## Conclusion

*Brassica* crops are susceptible to infections produced by fungus, bacteria or virus. These pathogens affect seriously the productivity and quality of these crops which result in substantial economic losses every year worldwide. For this reason, during the last decades it has been paid a great research emphasis on the plant host responses and the mechanisms underlying the resistance. Nevertheless, plant-pathogen interactions are highly complex since multiple pathogen factors and plant-signaling events take place, which ultimately define the susceptibility or resistance of the plant exposed to the pathogen. The recent advances in ‘omic’ approaches including gene expression analysis as well as protein and metabolite quantification enable genome-scale capturing of complex biological processes at the molecular level in plant diseases. This opens up new possibilities for understanding the molecular complexity of plant-pathogen systems and thus gain a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms implicated in basal and specific plant defense responses against a particular infection. To date, different ‘omic’ tools have been employed to understand how brassicas respond to biotic stress conditions. In this regard, genomics and transcriptomics have progressed as expected along with the recent availability of high-throughput sequence data generated from *Brassica* spp. but the other major ‘omic’ branches like proteomics and metabolomics are still lagging behind. In addition, the integration of ‘omic’-scale information to address complex genetics and physiological questions is still a challenge. Despite these limitations, the detailed global comparison of *Brassica* vegetables responses under

pathogen attack using ‘omics’ has allowed the identification of metabolic pathways, novel genes and proteins whose biological role warrants in-depth biochemical and cellular elucidation of resistance mechanism. Finally, a molecular-level understanding of biotic stress responses may identify promising novel targets for the development of *Brassica* cultivars with improved disease resistance.

## References

- Abdel-Farid, I.B., Jahangir, M., van den Hondel, C.A.M.J.J., Kim, H.K., Choi, Y.H., and Verpoorte, R. (2009). Fungal infection-induced metabolites in *Brassica rapa*. *Plant Sci* 176, 608-615.
- Ahn, Y.-K., Cho, Y.-I., Tripathi, S., Kim, D.-S., Kim, J.-H., and Lee, H.-E. (2014). Newly developed SNP markers related to genes for leaf morphological traits and disease resistance in Chinese cabbage (*Brassica rapa* L. ssp. *pekinensis*). *Plant Genetic Resources-Characterization and Utilization* 12, 62-64.
- Botanga, C.J., Bethke, G., Chen, Z., Gallie, D.R., Fiehn, O., and Glazebrook, J. (2012). Metabolite profiling of Arabidopsis inoculated with *Alternaria brassicicola* reveals that ascorbate reduces disease severity. *Mol Plant-Microbe Interact* 25, 1628-1638.
- Bradshaw, J.E., Gemmell, D.J., and Wilson, R.N. (1997). Transfer of resistance to clubroot (*Plasmodiophora brassicae*) to swedes (*Brassica napus* L. var. *napobrassica* Peterm) from *B. rapa*. *Ann Appl Biol* 130, 337-348.
- Brown, P.O., and Botstein, D. (1999). Exploring the new world of the genome with DNA microarrays. *Nature* 21, 33-37.

651 Cao, T., Srivastava, S., Rahman, M.H., Kav, N.N.V., Hotte, N., Deyholos, M.K., and  
652 Strelkov, S.E. (2008). Proteome-level changes in the roots of *Brassica napus* as a  
653 result of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* infection. *Plant Sci* 174, 97-115.

654 Chalhoub, B., Denoeud, F., Liu, S., Parkin, I.A.P., Tang, H., Wang, X., Chiquet, J.,  
655 Belcram, H., Tong, C., Samans, B., *et al.* (2014). Early allopolyploid evolution in  
656 the post-Neolithic *Brassica napus* oilseed genome. *Science* 345, 950-953.

657 Cho, Y.-I., Ahn, Y.-K., Tripathi, S., Kim, J.-H., Lee, H.-E., and Kim, D.-S. (2015).  
658 Comparative analysis of disease-linked single nucleotide polymorphic markers  
659 from *Brassica rapa* for their applicability to *Brassica oleracea*. *Plos One* 10,  
660 e0120163.

661 Chu, M., Song, T., Falk, K.C., Zhang, X., Liu, X., Chang, A., Lahlali, R., McGregor, L.,  
662 Gossen, B.D., Yu, F., *et al.* (2014). Fine mapping of Rcr1 and analyses of its  
663 effect on transcriptome patterns during infection by *Plasmodiophora brassicae*.  
664 *BMC Genomics* 15, 1166-1185.

665 Dalton-Morgan, J., Hayward, A., Alamery, S., Tollenaere, R., Mason, A.S., Campbell,  
666 E., Patel, D., Lorenc, M.T., Yi, B., Long, Y., *et al.* (2014). A high-throughput  
667 SNP array in the amphidiploid species *Brassica napus* shows diversity in  
668 resistance genes. *Functional & Integrative Genomics* 14, 643-655.

669 Diederichsen, E., Frauen, M., Linders, E.G.A., Hatakeyama, K., and Hirai, M. (2009).  
670 Status and perspectives of clubroot resistance breeding in crucifer crops. *J Plant*  
671 *Growth Regul* 28, 265-281.

672 Eckardt, N.A. (2012). A MicroRNA Cascade in Plant Defense. *Plant Cell* 24, 840-840.

673 Edwards, D., Batley, J., and Snowdon, R.J. (2013). Accessing complex crop genomes  
674 with next-generation sequencing. *Theor Appl Genet* 126, 1-11.

675 Floerl, S., Majcherczyk, A., Possienke, M., Feussner, K., Tappe, H., Gatz, C., Feussner,  
676 I., Kües, U., and Polle, A. (2012). *Verticillium longisporum* infection affects the  
677 leaf apoplastic proteome, metabolome, and cell wall properties in *Arabidopsis*  
678 *thaliana*. Plos One 7, e31435.

679 Fopa Fomeju, B., Falentin, C., Lassalle, G., Manzanares-Dauleux, M.J., and Delourme,  
680 R. (2014). Homoeologous duplicated regions are involved in quantitative  
681 resistance of *Brassica napus* to stem canker. BMC Genomics 15, 498-498.

682 Hayward, A., McLanders, J., Campbell, E., Edwards, D., and Batley, J. (2012).  
683 Genomic advances will herald new insights into the Brassica: *Leptosphaeria*  
684 *maculans* pathosystem. Plant Biol 14, 1-10.

685 Hill, C.B., and Roessner, U. (2013). Metabolic profiling of plants by GC-MS. In The  
686 handbook of plant metabolomics, W. Weckwerth, and G. Kahl, eds. (Wiley-  
687 Blackwell), p. 448.

688 Hirai, M. (2006). Genetic analysis of clubroot resistance in *Brassica* crops. Breed Sci  
689 56, 223-229.

690 Hu, T.T., Pattyn, P., Bakker, E.G., Cao, J., Cheng, J.-F., Clark, R.M., Fahlgren, N.,  
691 Fawcett, J.A., Grimwood, J., Gundlach, H., *et al.* (2011). The *Arabidopsis lyrata*  
692 genome sequence and the basis of rapid genome size change. Nat Genet 43, 476-  
693 481.

694 Huang, J., Bhinu, V.S., Li, X., Dallal Bashi, Z., Zhou, R., and Hannoufa, A. (2009).  
695 Pleiotropic changes in *Arabidopsis* f5h and sct mutants revealed by large-scale  
696 gene expression and metabolite analysis. Planta 230, 1057-1069.

697 Hunter, P.J., Jones, J.E., and Walsh, J.A. (2002). Involvement of Beet western yellows  
698 virus, Cauliflower mosaic virus, and Turnip mosaic virus in Internal Disorders of  
699 Stored White Cabbage. Phytopathology 92, 816-826.

700 Jahangir, M., Kim, H.K., Choi, Y.H., and Verpoorte, R. (2008). Metabolomic response  
 701 of *Brassica rapa* submitted to pre-harvest bacterial contamination. *Food Chem*  
 702 *107*, 362-368.

703 Jung, Y.-J. (2013). Transcriptional profiling of soft-rot resistant transgenic Chinese  
 704 cabbage (*Brassica rapa* L.) constitutively overexpressing a human cathelicidin  
 705 antimicrobial peptide (hCAP18/LL-37). *Plant Breed Biotech* *1*, 80-90.

706 Kaido, M., Ishikawa, T., and Hori, H. (2007). Comparative proteomic analysis of the  
 707 resistant response in *Brassica rapa* root culture to the clubroot disease agent  
 708 *Plasmodiophora brassicae*. *Bull Facul Agric Niigata Univ* *60*, 67-71.

709 Kalischuk, M.L., Johnson, D., and Kawchuk, L.M. (2015). Priming with a double-  
 710 stranded DNA virus alters *Brassica rapa* seed architecture and facilitates a  
 711 defense response. *Gene* *557*, 130-137.

712 Kaul, S., Koo, H.L., Jenkins, J., Rizzo, M., Rooney, T., Tallon, L.J., Feldblyum, T.,  
 713 Nierman, W., Benito, M.I., Lin, X.Y., *et al.* (2000). Analysis of the genome  
 714 sequence of the flowering plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature* *408*, 796-815.

715 Kim, Y.-W., Jung, H.-J., Park, J.-I., Hur, Y., and Nou, I.-S. (2015). Response of NBS  
 716 encoding resistance genes linked to both heat and fungal stress in *Brassica*  
 717 *oleracea*. *Plant Physiol Biochem* *86*, 130-136.

718 Kodzius, R., Kojima, M., Nishiyori, H., Nakamura, M., Fukuda, S., Tagami, M., Sasaki,  
 719 D., Imamura, K., Kai, C., Harbers, M., *et al.* (2006). CAGE: cap analysis of gene  
 720 expression. *Nat Meth* *3*, 211-222.

721 Konig, S., Feussner, K., Kaefer, A., Landesfeind, M., Thurow, C., Karlovsky, P., Gatz,  
 722 C., Polle, A., and Feussner, I. (2014). Soluble phenylpropanoids are involved in  
 723 the defense response of *Arabidopsis* against *Verticillium longisporum*. *New*  
 724 *Phytol* *202*, 823-837.

725 Lee, J., Izzah, N.K., Jayakodi, M., Perumal, S., Joh, H.J., Lee, H.J., Lee, S.-C., Park,  
 726 J.Y., Yang, K.-W., Nou, I.-S., *et al.* (2015). Genome-wide SNP identification and  
 727 QTL mapping for black rot resistance in cabbage. *BMC Plant Biol* 15, 32-43.  
 728 Lee, Y.H., and Hong, J.K. (2015). Differential defence responses of susceptible and  
 729 resistant kimchi cabbage cultivars to anthracnose, black spot and black rot  
 730 diseases. *Plant Pathol* 64, 406-415.  
 731 Lema, M., Velasco, P., Soengas, P., Francisco, M., and Cartea, M.E. (2012). Screening  
 732 for resistance to black rot in *Brassica oleracea* crops. *Plant breeding* 131, 607-613.  
 733 Li, Y., Zhang, C., Song, X., Lv, S., and Hou, X. (2014). Using an *Arabidopsis*  
 734 oligonucleotide microarray to analyze the secretory pathway genes' Response to  
 735 TuMV infection in *Brassica rapa*. *Physiol Mol Plant Pathol* 88, 85-93.  
 736 Liu, R., Zhao, J., Xiao, Y., and Meng, J. (2005). Identification of prior candidate genes  
 737 for *Sclerotinia* local resistance in *Brassica napus* using *Arabidopsis* cDNA  
 738 microarray and *Brassica-Arabidopsis* comparative mapping. *Science in China*  
 739 *Series C: Life Sciences* 48, 460-470.  
 740 Liu, S., Liu, Y., Yang, X., Tong, C., Edwards, D., Parkin, I.A.P., Zhao, M., Ma, J., Yu,  
 741 J., Huang, S., *et al.* (2014). The *Brassica oleracea* genome reveals the  
 742 asymmetrical evolution of polyploid genomes. *Nature Communications* 5.  
 743 Liu, Z., Kong, L., Zhang, M., Lv, Y., Liu, Y., Zou, M., Lu, G., Cao, J., and Yu, X.  
 744 (2013). Genome-wide identification, phylogeny, evolution and expression patterns  
 745 of AP2/ERF genes and cytokinin response factors in *Brassica rapa* ssp  
 746 *pekinensis*. *PloS One* 8, e83444.  
 747 Love, C.G., Graham, N.S., S, O.L., Bowen, H.C., May, S.T., White, P.J., Broadley,  
 748 M.R., Hammond, J.P., and King, G.J. (2010). A *Brassica* exon array for whole-  
 749 transcript gene expression profiling. *PLoS One* 5, e12812.

750 Lowe, R.G., Cassin, A., Grandaubert, J., Clark, B.L., Van de Wouw, A.P., Rouxel, T.,  
 751 and Howlett, B.J. (2014). Genomes and transcriptomes of partners in plant-fungal-  
 752 interactions between canola (*Brassica napus*) and two *Leptosphaeria* species.  
 753 PLoS One 9, e103098.

754 Lv, H.-h., Yang, L.-m., Kang, J.-g., Wang, Q.-b., Wang, X.-w., Fang, Z.-y., Liu, Y.-m.,  
 755 Zhuang, M., Zhang, Y.-y., Lin, Y., *et al.* (2013). Development of InDel markers  
 756 linked to *Fusarium* wilt resistance in cabbage. Mol Breed 32, 961-967.

757 Lv, H., Fang, Z., Yang, L., Zhang, Y., Wang, Q., Liu, Y., Zhuang, M., Yang, Y., Xie,  
 758 B., Liu, B., *et al.* (2014). Mapping and analysis of a novel candidate *Fusarium*  
 759 wilt resistance gene FOC1 in *Brassica oleracea*. BMC Genomics 15, 1094.

760 Lloyd, S.R., Schoonbeek, H.J., Trick, M., Zipfel, C., and Ridout, C.J. (2014). Methods  
 761 to study PAMP-triggered immunity in *Brassica* species. Mol Plant-Microbe  
 762 Interact 27, 286-295.

763 Ma, Y., Gentry, T., Hu, P., Pierson, E., Gu, M., and Yin, S. (2015). Impact of  
 764 *Brassicaceous* seed meals on the composition of the soil fungal community and  
 765 the incidence of *Fusarium* wilt on chili pepper. Appl Soil Ecol 90, 41-48.

766 Marra, R., Li, H., Barbetti, M.J., Sivasithamparam, K., Vinale, F., Cavallo, P., and  
 767 Lorito, M. (2010). Proteomic analysis of the interaction between *Brassica napus*  
 768 cv. Surpass 400 and virulent or avirulent isolates of *Leptosphaeria maculans*. J  
 769 Plant Pathol 92, 89-101.

770 Matsumura, H., Yoshida, K., Luo, S., Kimura, E., Fujibe, T., Albertyn, Z., Barrero,  
 771 R.A., Krüger, D.H., Kahl, G., Schroth, G.P., *et al.* (2010). High-throughput  
 772 superSAGE for digital gene expression analysis of multiple samples using next  
 773 generation sequencing. PLoS ONE 5, e12010.



774 McSorley, R., and Frederick, J.J. (1995). Responses of some common *Cruciferae* to  
775 root-knot nematodes. *J Nematol* 27, 550-554.

776 Meyers, B.C., Kozik, A., Griego, A., Kuang, H.H., and Michelmore, R.W. (2003).  
777 Genome-wide analysis of NBS-LRR-encoding genes in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell*  
778 15, 809-834.

779 Mun, J.-H., Yu, H.-J., Park, S., and Park, B.-S. (2009). Genome-wide identification of  
780 NBS-encoding resistance genes in *Brassica rapa*. *Mol Genet Genomics* 282, 617-  
781 631.

782 Narusaka, M., Abe, H., Kobayashi, M., Kubo, Y., and Narusaka, Y. (2006).  
783 Comparative analysis of expression profiles of counterpart gene sets between  
784 *Brassica rapa* and *Arabidopsis thaliana* during fungal pathogen *Colletotrichum*  
785 *higginsianum* infection. *Plant Biotechnol* 23, 503-508.

786 Park, S., Yu, H.-J., Mun, J.-H., and Lee, S.-C. (2010). Genome-wide discovery of DNA  
787 polymorphism in *Brassica rapa*. *Mol Genet Genomics* 283, 135-145.

788 Parkin, I.A.P., Koh, C., Tang, H., Robinson, S.J., Kagale, S., Clarke, W.E., Town, C.D.,  
789 Nixon, J., Krishnakumar, V., Bidwell, S.L., *et al.* (2014). Transcriptome and  
790 methylome profiling reveals relics of genome dominance in the mesopolyploid  
791 *Brassica oleracea*. *Genome Biology* 15, R77.

792 Pedras, M.S., and Zheng, Q.A. (2010). Metabolic responses of *Thellungiella*  
793 *halophila/salsuginea* to biotic and abiotic stresses: metabolite profiles and  
794 quantitative analyses. *Phytochemistry* 71, 581-589.

795 Piao, Z., Ramchiary, N., and Lim, Y.P. (2009). Genetics of clubroot resistance in  
796 brassica species. *J Plant Growth Regul* 28, 252-264.

797 Rabilloud, T., Chevallet, M., Luche, S., and Lelong, C. (2010). Two-dimensional gel  
798 electrophoresis in proteomics: Past, present and future. *J Proteomics* 73, 2064-  
799 2077.

800 Raybould, A.F., Maskell, L.C., Edwards, M.L., Cooper, J.I., and Gray, A.J. (1999). The  
801 prevalence and spatial distribution of viruses in natural populations of *Brassica*  
802 *oleracea*. *New Phytol* 141, 265-275.

803 Rennie, D.C., Holtz, M.D., Turkington, T.K., Leboldus, J.M., Hwang, S.-F., Howard,  
804 R.J., and Strelkov, S.E. (2015). Movement of *Plasmodiophora brassicae* resting  
805 spores in windblown dust. *Can J Plant Pathol* 37, 188-196.

806 Saharan, G.S., and Mehta, N. (2008). *Sclerotinia Diseases of Crop Plants: Biology,*  
807 *Ecology and Disease Management* (Amsterdam: Springer).

808 Sardans, J., Peñuelas, J., and Rivas-Ubach, A. (2011). Ecological metabolomics:  
809 overview of current developments and future challenges. *Chemoecology* 21, 34.

810 Sarosh, B.R., Danielsson, J., and Meijer, J. (2009). Transcript profiling of oilseed rape  
811 (*Brassica napus*) primed for biocontrol differentiate genes involved in microbial  
812 interactions with beneficial *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* from pathogenic *Botrytis*  
813 *cinerea*. *Plant Mol Biol* 70, 31-45.

814 Shen, D., Suhrkamp, I., Wang, Y., Liu, S., Menkhaus, J., Verreet, J.-A., Fan, L., and  
815 Cai, D. (2014). Identification and characterization of microRNAs in oilseed rape  
816 (*Brassica napus*) responsive to infection with the pathogenic fungus *Verticillium*  
817 *longisporum* using Brassica AA (*Brassica rapa*) and CC (*Brassica oleracea*) as  
818 reference genomes. *New Phytol* 204, 577-594.

819 Shimizu, M., Fujimoto, R., Ying, H., Pu, Z.J., Ebe, Y., Kawanabe, T., Saeki, N., Taylor,  
820 J.M., Kaji, M., Dennis, E.S., *et al.* (2014). Identification of candidate genes for

821 *Fusarium* yellows resistance in Chinese cabbage by differential expression  
822 analysis. *Plant Mol Biol* 85, 247-257.

823 Simoh, S., Quintana, N., Kim, H.K., Choi, Y.H., and Verpoorte, R. (2009). Metabolic  
824 changes in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-infected *Brassica rapa*. *J Plant Physiol*  
825 166, 1005-1014.

826 Singh, A., Guest, D., and Copeland, L. (2015). Associations between glucosinolates,  
827 white rust, and plant defense activators in *Brassica* plants: A review. *Int J Veg Sci*  
828 21, 297-313.

829 Slotte, T., Hazzouri, K.M., Agren, J.A., Koenig, D., Maumus, F., Guo, Y.-L., Steige, K.,  
830 Platts, A.E., Escobar, J.S., Newman, L.K., *et al.* (2013). The *Capsella rubella*  
831 genome and the genomic consequences of rapid mating system evolution. *Nat*  
832 *Genet* 45, 831-U165.

833 Sotelo, T., Lema, M., Soengas, P., Cartea, M.E., and Velasco, P. (2015). In vitro  
834 activity of glucosinolates and their degradation products against *Brassica*-  
835 pathogenic bacteria and fungi. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 81, 432-440.

836 Strehlow, B., de Mol, F., and Struck, C. (2014). Location and crop management affect  
837 clubroot severity in Germany. *Gesunde Pflanzen* 66, 157-164.

838 Sun, C., Wang, L., Hu, D., Riquicho, A.R.M., Liu, T., Hou, X., and Li, Y. (2014).  
839 Proteomic analysis of non-heading Chinese cabbage infected with  
840 *Hyaloperonospora parasitica*. *J Proteomics* 98, 15-30.

841 Sun, C.B. (2014). Characterization of the small RNA transcriptome in plant-microbe  
842 (*Brassica/Erwinia*) interactions by high-throughput sequencing. *Biotechnol Lett*  
843 36, 371-381.

844 Suwabe, K., Suzuki, G., Nunome, T., Hatakeyama, K., Mukai, Y., Fukuoka, H., and  
845 Matsumoto, S. (2012). Microstructure of a *Brassica rapa* genome segment

846 homoeologous to the resistance gene cluster on *Arabidopsis* chromosome 4. *Breed*  
847 *Sci* 62, 170-177.

848 Tollenaere, R., Hayward, A., Dalton-Morgan, J., Campbell, E., Lee, J.R.M., Lorenc,  
849 M.T., Manoli, S., Stiller, J., Raman, R., Raman, H., *et al.* (2012). Identification  
850 and characterization of candidate Rlm4 blackleg resistance genes in *Brassica*  
851 *napus* using next-generation sequencing. *Plant Biotechnol J* 10, 709-715.

852 Trick, M., Cheung, F., Drou, N., Fraser, F., Lobenhofer, E.K., Hurban, P., Magusin, A.,  
853 Town, C.D., and Bancroft, I. (2009). A newly-developed community microarray  
854 resource for transcriptome profiling in *Brassica* species enables the confirmation  
855 of *Brassica*-specific expressed sequences. *BMC Plant Biol* 9, 50.

856 Velasco, P., Lema, M., Francisco, M., Soengas, P., and Elena Cartea, M. (2013). In vivo  
857 and in vitro effects of secondary metabolites against *Xanthomonas campestris* pv.  
858 *campestris*. *Molecules* 18, 11131-11143.

859 Verma, S.S., Rahman, M.H., Deyholos, M.K., Basu, U., and Kav, N.N. (2014).  
860 Differential expression of miRNAs in *Brassica napus* root following infection  
861 with *Plasmodiophora brassicae*. *PLoS One* 9, e86648.

862 Verpoorte, R., Choi, Y.H., and Kim, H.K. (2007). NMR-based metabolomics at work in  
863 phytochemistry. *Phytochem Rev* 6, 3-14.

864 Vicente, J.G., Conway, J., Roberts, S.J., and Taylor, J.D. (2001). Identification and  
865 origin of *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *campestris* races and related pathovars.  
866 *Phytopathology* 91, 492-499.

867 Vicente, J.G., Everett, B., and Roberts, S.J. (2006). Identification of isolates that cause a  
868 leaf spot disease of *Brassicac*s as *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *raphani* and  
869 pathogenic and genetic comparison with related pathovars. *Phytopathology* 96,  
870 735-745.

871 Vicente, J.G., and Holub, E.B. (2013). *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *campestris* (cause  
872 of black rot of crucifers) in the genomic era is still a worldwide threat to brassica  
873 crops. *Mol Plant Pathol* 14, 2-18.

874 Wang, X., Wang, H., Wang, J., Sun, R., Wu, J., Liu, S., Bai, Y., Mun, J.-H., Bancroft,  
875 I., Cheng, F., *et al.* (2011). The genome of the mesopolyploid crop species  
876 *Brassica rapa*. *Nat Genet* 43, 1035-U1157.

877 Wang, Y., Wu, H., Wei, G., Zhang, H., Zhang, Y., and Gao, X. (2015). Transcriptome  
878 profiling of transgenic rapeseed revealed genes related to the defense responses  
879 and signaling pathways. *Crop Sci* 55, 800-810.

880 Witzel, K., Neugart, S., Ruppel, S., Schreiner, M., Wiesner, M., and Baldermann, S.  
881 (2015). Recent progress in the use of 'omics' technologies in brassicaceous  
882 vegetables. *Front Plant Sci* 6, 244.

883 Yamamoto, M., Wakatsuki, T., Hada, A., and Ryo, A. (2001). Use of serial analysis of  
884 gene expression (SAGE) technology. *J Immunol Methods* 250, 45-66.

885 Yang, B., Srivastava, S., Deyholos, M.K., and Kav, N.N.V. (2007). Transcriptional  
886 profiling of canola (*Brassica napus* L.) responses to the fungal pathogen  
887 *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Plant Sci* 173, 156-171.

888 Yang, R., Jarvis, D.E., Chen, H., Beilstein, M.A., Grimwood, J., Jenkins, J., Shu, S.Q.,  
889 Prochnik, S., Xin, M., Ma, C., *et al.* (2013). The reference genome of the  
890 halophytic plant *Eutrema salsugineum*. *Front Plant Sci* 4, 46.

891 Yin, H., Li, S., Zhao, X., Du, Y., and Ma, X. (2006). cDNA microarray analysis of gene  
892 expression in *Brassica napus* treated with oligochitosan elicitor. *Plant Physiol*  
893 *Biochem* 44, 910-916.

894 Yoshikawa, H. (1981). Breeding for clubroot resistance in Chinese cabbage. In Chinese  
895 Cabbage, N.S. Taleker, T.D. Griggs, and T.W. Shanhua, eds. (Taiwan), pp. 405-  
896 413.

897 Yu, J., Tehrim, S., Zhang, F., Tong, C., Huang, J., Cheng, X., Dong, C., Zhou, Y., Qin,  
898 R., Hua, W., *et al.* (2014). Genome-wide comparative analysis of NBS-encoding  
899 genes between *Brassica* species and *Arabidopsis thaliana*. BMC Genomics 15, 3-  
900 3.

901 Zhao, J., Buchwaldt, L., Rimmer, S.R., Sharpe, A., McGregor, L., Bekkaoui, D., and  
902 Hegedus, D. (2009). Patterns of differential gene expression in *Brassica napus*  
903 cultivars infected with *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. Mol Plant Pathol 10, 635-649.

904 Zhao, J., Wang, J., An, L., Doerge, R.W., Chen, Z.J., Grau, C., Meng, J., and Osborn, T.  
905 (2007). Analysis of gene expression profiles in response to *Sclerotinia*  
906 *sclerotiorum* in *Brassica napus*. Planta 227, 13-24.